#### COMMISSIONERS **BOB STUMP - Chairman GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS BOB BURNS** SUSAN BITTER SMITH





# ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION EIVED

2014 SEP 29 P 2: 30

DATE:

**SEPTEMBER 29, 2014** 

DOCKET NO.:

T-01923A-13-0428

CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

TO ALL PARTIES:

ORIGINAL

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Jane L. Rodda. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Opinion and Order on:

> SOUTH CENTRAL UTAH TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION, INC. (RATES)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and thirteen (13) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by 4:00 p.m. on or before:

October 8, 2014

The enclosed is NOT an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has tentatively been scheduled for the Commission's Open Meeting to be held on:

**OCTOBER 16, 2014** 

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602) 542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602) 542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Director's Office at (602) 542-3931.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

SEP 2 9 2014

DOCKETED BY

JODI JERICH

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET; PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2927 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET; TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701-1347 www.azcc.gov

This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Shaylin Bernal, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail SABernal@azcc.gov.

#### 1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 **COMMISSIONERS** 3 **BOB STUMP - Chairman GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS BOB BURNS** 5 SUSAN BITTER SMITH 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. T-01923A-13-0428 SOUTH CENTRAL UTAH TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION, INC. A UTAH NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE EARNINGS OF THE DECISION NO. COMPANY, THE FAIR VALUE OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, 10 AND TO INCREASE RESIDENTIAL RATES AS NECESSARY TO COMPENSATE FOR THE RATE 11 IMPACTS OF THE FCC'S USF/ICC TRANSFORMATION ORDER. **OPINION AND ORDER** 12 13 DATE OF HEARING: March 25, 2014 14 PLACE OF HEARING: Phoenix, Arizona 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jane Rodda 16 **APPEARANCES:** Mr. Craig A. Marks, CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC, on behalf of Applicant; and 17 Ms. Maureen A. Scott, Senior Staff Counsel and 18 Mr. Charles O. Hains, Staff Attorney, Legal Division on behalf of the Utilities Division of the 19 Arizona Corporation Commission. 20 BY THE COMMISSION: 21 22 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 23 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that: 24 FINDINGS OF FACT 25 **Procedural History** 26 1. On December 9, 2013, South Central Utah Telephone Association, Inc. ("SCU" or 27 "Cooperative") filed with the Commission pursuant to A.R.S. §40-250 and Arizona Administrative 28

S:\Jane\TELECOMM\Rural Rate Cases\SCUTA 130428 O&O.docx 1

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

19

20

18

21 22

24

23

25 26

27

28

Code ("A.A.C.") R14-2-103 for an increase in its residential rates in order to compensate for the rate impacts of the Federal Communication Commission's ("FCC") November 18, 2011, USF/ICC Order. In its Application SCU requested that the Commission approve a basic residential local exchange rate of the lower of \$19.00, or the floor rate to be set by the FCC. SCU requested that the new rates go into effect by June 1, 2014 in order to avoid the loss of federal funding for high-cost loop support.<sup>2</sup>

- 2. On December 17, 2013, SCU filed a Time Clock Waiver in order to allow additional time for the Commission to determine procedures for processing the Application.
- 3. In a Special Open Meeting on January 14, 2014, the Commission agreed to adopt a proposal by the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") that would not require SCU to file all of the schedules normally required under A.A.C. R14-2-103 for rate applications because of the short time frame when new rates must be in effect under the FCC directive.<sup>3</sup>
- 4. By Procedural Order dated January 15, 2014, a Procedural Conference was held on January 22, 2014, to discuss the timing of the hearing in this matter and other procedural matters. SCU and Staff appeared through counsel and agreed on a process to govern this matter.
- 5. By Procedural Order dated January 23, 2014, the matter was set for hearing on March 25, 2014, and other procedural guidelines established.
- 6. On January 29, 2014, SCU docketed a "Supplemental Filing" that included a schedule showing the effect on the Cooperative's rate of return if the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau set \$17.50 as the monthly residential rate floor to be in effect as of June 1, 2014.

FCC 11-161, Connect America Fund, WC Docket No. 10-90 et al., Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 26 FCC Rcd 17663 (November 18, 2001) ("USF/ICC Order").

Six rural Arizona incumbent local exchange carriers ("ILECs") filed similar rate case applications around the same time period in order to comply with the FCC's USF/ICC Order. All six were represented by the same legal counsel and several of the carriers have common ownership. Although separate hearings were held for each entity, the proceedings were coordinated to promote efficiency. The dockets are: Docket No. T-02063A-13-0411 (Arizona Telephone Company); Docket No. T-01072A-13-0412 (Southwestern Telephone Company); Docket No. T-01923A-13-0428 (South Central Utah Telephone Association, Inc.); Docket No. T-02724A-13-0416 (Table Top Telephone Company, Inc.); Docket No. T-01847A-13-0457 (Valley Telephone Cooperative, Inc.); and Docket No. T-02727A-13-0458 (Copper Valley Telephone, Inc.). Arizona Telephone Company and Southwestern Telephone Company have the same parent company, and Valley Telephone Cooperative, Inc. owns Copper Valley Telephone, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The streamlined filing, as recommended by Staff, included schedules showing: adjusted test year results; adjustments; year 2 USF/ICC Order impacts; rate base; working capital; and rate design.

SCU made the filing at Staff's request. At that time, the rural ILECs believed that \$17.50 was a likely target for the FCC's new benchmark.

O

10

11 12

13

14

1516

17

18

19 20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

On July 1, carriers report the rates in effect on June 1.

<sup>6</sup> The original Rate Case Procedural Order had set March 14, 2014, as the date to file the Staff Report.

- 7. On March 10, 2014, SCU filed an Affidavit of Customer Notice indicating that public notice of the hearing in this matter was mailed to its customers on February 12, 2014.
- 8. On March 14, 2014, Staff filed a Request for Suspension of the Procedural Schedule on the grounds that the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau had not yet determined the rate floor for June 1, 2014, which resulted in uncertainty about the level of the rate floor and the implementation date. In its filing, Staff included information that on March 11, 2014, the Eastern Rural Telecom Association ("ERTA"), the Independent Telephone & Telecommunications Alliance ("ITTA"), NTCA – The Rural Broadband Association ("NTCA"), the National Exchange Carrier Association ("NECA"), the United States Telecom Association ("US Telecom"), and WTA-Advocates for Rural Broadband ("WTA") (collectively the "Associations") filed a request with the FCC asking that the effective reporting date for the as yet unannounced 2014 local service floor be extended from July 1, 2014, to January 2, 2015.<sup>5</sup> Staff believed that the FCC would likely seek comment on the Associations' request before it made any determination on the rate floor and whether to extend the date for compliance. Because of these developments, Staff did not believe that it would be productive to proceed with the hearing, and requested a temporary suspension of the procedural schedule until the FCC set both the local service rate floor and gave guidance to carriers and the states on how to proceed, or the FCC ruled on the Associations' request for extension of time.
- 9. On March 17, 2014, SCU filed a Response to the Staff Request. Because the effect of the pending motion by the Associations before the FCC was unknown, SCU could support Staff's request only with the understanding that if the FCC required compliance with a new benchmark by June 1, 2014, or shortly thereafter, that Staff and the Hearing Division would work with the Cooperative to resume the hearings as expeditiously as necessary so as to avoid any potential loss of federal funds.
- 10. By Procedural Order dated March 18, 2014, Staff's Request was denied in order to provide the Commission with sufficient time to act on the Application if the FCC did not extend the June 1, 2014, implementation date. Staff was directed to file its Staff Report by March 20, 2014.

- 11. On March 20, 2014, Staff filed a Request for an Extension of Time to File Its Staff Report until March 21, 2014. Staff's request was granted by Procedural Order dated March 21, 2014.
- 12. On March 21, 2014, Staff filed its Staff Report, recommending approval of SCU's request to increase its residential local exchange service rate from \$14.00 to the lower of \$19.00 or the 2014 local service rate floor established by the FCC. Staff further recommended that the rate increase become effective on the rate floor compliance date as determined by the FCC.
- 13. On March 21, 2014, SCU filed Notice of New FCC Rate Floor. The Cooperative attached a copy of the FCC Public Notice DA14-384 dated March 20, 2014, in which the FCC announced a rate floor of \$20.46. The FCC did not extend the June 1, 2014, compliance date but asked for comments on the Associations' petition to extend the compliance date.
- 14. The hearing convened as scheduled on March 25, 2014, before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge, at the Commission's offices in Phoenix, Arizona. SCU and Staff appeared through counsel; Michael East, President and Chief Executive Officer, testified for SCU; and Pamela Genung, Executive Consultant II for the Utilities Division, testified on behalf of Staff. No members of the public filed comments or appeared at the hearing to make public comment.
- 15. On March 31, 2014, SCU filed Post-hearing Exhibits, consisting of pro forma results of operations under two different rate scenarios, and a bill impact schedule.
- 16. By Procedural Order dated April 16, 2014, SCU was requested to supplement the record with data on the amount of federal high cost loop support ("FHCLS") received in the test year.
- 17. On April 23, 2014, the FCC met in open meeting and adopted a *Seventh Order on Reconsideration* of its *USF/ICC Order*. Statements made during the open meeting indicated that the FCC was going to adopt a lower rate floor than previously announced, waive that portion of its rules that would have required the new rate floor go into effect on June 1, 2014, and phase-in the rates over a number of years. The FCC's open meeting discussion did not provide the specifics of its decision.
- 18. On April 28, 2014 and May 7, 2014, SCU and Staff participated in two telephonic procedural conferences to discuss the implications of the FCC's actions and whether the Commission

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Report and Order, Declaratory Ruling, Order, Memorandum and Order, Seventh Order on Reconsideration, and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, WC Docket No. 10-90, WT Docket No. 10-208, WT Docket No. 14-58, WC Docket No. 07-135, CC Docket No. 01-92 ("Seventh Reconsideration Order").

6

1

7 8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

24

23

25

26

27 28

<sup>8</sup> Seventh Reconsideration Order at ¶ 80.

needed to approve new rates by June 1, 2014, in order to preserve the current levels of FHCLS. The Order adopted at the FCC's April 23, 2014 Open Meeting had not yet been released, and no party to this proceeding was certain of its specific provisions. Staff recommended delaying Commission action on the rate application until the FCC's actions were known. However, without knowing the details of the forthcoming order, SCU was reluctant to risk not having new rates in place by June 1, 2014. On or about May 8, 2014, based on additional information received about the FCC's actions, SCU agreed that the Commission could delay taking action on its rate application until the FCC's Order was released.

- 19. The FCC's Seventh Reconsideration Order was released on June 10, 2014. This Order grants a waiver of the provision that would cut FHCLS for carriers if their local residential rates are \$14.00 or higher as of June 1, 2014. In addition, it establishes a phase-in of the floor rate that calls for residential local exchange rates to be at or above \$16.00 by December 1, 2014, at or above \$18.00 by June 1, 2016, and at or above \$20.00 by June 1, 2017, in order to preserve current levels of FHCLS.8
- 20. By Procedural Order dated July 1, 2014, the parties were directed to provide revised substantive and procedural recommendations given the FCC's Seventh Reconsideration Order.
- 21. On July 31, 2014, SCU and Staff each filed Responses to the July 1, 2014, Procedural Order.
- 22. SCU recommended that the Commission authorize it to increase its residential rates to \$16.00 effective December 1, 2014; to the lower of \$18.00 or the new national benchmark effective June 1, 2016; and to the lower of \$20.00 or any new national benchmark by June 1, 2017, without reopening the hearing or requiring a new hearing. SCU believed that because the notice sent to residential customers concerning its rate request stated that it was requesting new rates up to the lower of \$19.00 or the FCC's approved residential rate floor and also provided that the final rates approved by the Commission may be higher or lower than the rates requested by the Cooperative, that no additional notice is required prior to Commission action on the Application. SCU

recommended that it be required to provide notice of each rate increase before it is implemented.

- 23. Staff recommended that the Commission adopt the following residential access line rates and implementation schedule: (a) \$16.00 effective December 1, 2014; (b) \$18.00 or the 2016 rate floor set by the FCC, whichever is lower, effective June 1, 2016; and (c) \$20.00, or the 2017 rate floor set by the FCC, whichever is lower, on June 1, 2017. Staff believed that because the hearing in this matter discussed various options that the FCC might take, there is sufficient evidence in the record to establish that the proposed final rate of \$20.00 and the various step increases are just and reasonable. Staff believes that because the matter has been noticed to residential customers for a rate up to \$19.00, that within 30 days of the effective date of any Order in this matter, the Cooperative should be required to re-notice customers informing them of the new rate floor of \$20.00 to be implemented in 2017, and also include the various step increases provided in the FCC's Seventh Reconsideration Order and their effective dates. In addition, Staff recommends that before each of the rate phase-ins, the Cooperative should send another notice to customers at least 30 days prior to the effective date of the increase in rates. Finally, Staff recommends that the Cooperative be required to file a full rate case for any future rate increases beyond the \$20.00 floor.
- 24. By Procedural Order dated August 7, 2014, the parties were requested to provide additional information about their positions.
- 25. On August 22, 2014, SCU filed a Response to the August 7, 2014 Procedural Order, attaching updated schedules reflecting the effect of the FCC's revised directive for the phase-in of local service rates.
- 26. On August 29, 2014, Staff filed its Response to the August 7, 2014 Procedural Order, addressing the reasonableness of the proposed rate phase-in schedule.
- 27. On September 22, 2014, SCU filed a Supplemental Response to Procedural Order which provided additional information about the projected level of FHCLS going forward.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Staff recommended that the notice should also explain that if the new FCC surveys in 2016 and 2017 result in rate floors that are lower than the step increases contained in the FCC's *Seventh Reconsideration Order*, the step increases will also be lower.

## **Background**

- 28. SCU is a non-profit member owned cooperative that provides telephone utility service to the public in Arizona and Utah. The Cooperative has two Arizona exchanges—Colorado City and Fredonia.<sup>10</sup> At the end of the test year, September 30, 2013 ("test year"), SCU had approximately 654 residential lines in Arizona.<sup>11</sup>
- 29. SCU's current rates were authorized in Decision No. 74205 (December 30, 2013). In that Decision, the Commission authorized SCU to increase its Colorado City residential local exchange service rate from \$11.00 to \$14.00, and its Fredonia residential local exchange rate from \$13.28 to \$14.00, in order to comply with the FCC's *USF/ICC Order*. 12
  - 30. Staff confirmed that SCU is in compliance with Commission Orders. 13
  - 31. SCU is a rate-of-return ILEC eligible to receive FHCLS.
- 32. On November 18, 2011, the FCC issued the *USF/ICC Order*, which provides for a transition away from then-existing federal universal service programs and most intercarrier compensation systems to a new Connect America Fund ("CAF"). In its *USF/ICC Order*, the FCC provided that by July 1, 2020, intercarrier compensation rates for rate of return companies would be reduced to zero. The CAF provides revenues to carriers as a means to help the transition from access charges and/or reciprocal compensation. Recovery from the CAF will phase out over time at 5 percent annually. In addition to CAF revenues, the *USF/ICC Order* allows ILECs to implement an Access Recovery Charge ("ARC") on the residential end user's bill. In the first year, starting July 1, 2012, ILECs could impose an ARC in the amount of \$0.50 per residential line; in the second year (July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014) the ARC could increase to \$1.00 per residential line; and in year three, the ARC could increase to \$1.50, up to a maximum ARC of \$3.00 in year six.
- 33. The *USF/ICC Order* also adds new rules that reduce FHCLS to carriers by the amount that their flat-rate residential local service rates fall below a specified local service rate floor. The rate

13 Ex S-1 Staff Report at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Hearing Transcript ("Tr.") at 24. The Cooperative has many more customers in Utah than in Arizona, with about 10,000 total customers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The rates in effect prior to Decision No. 74205 were approved in Decision No. 56744 (December 20, 1989) in which the Commission authorized a 6.42 percent rate of return on FVRB.

floor is intended "to ensure that states are contributing to support and advance universal service and that consumers are not contributing to the Fund to support customers whose rates are below a reasonable level." The rate floor includes state subscriber line charges, state universal service fees, and mandatory extended area service charges, if any. The *USF/ICC Order* established the rate floor at \$14.00 as of June 1, 2013, with the floor thereafter being determined annually by the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau.

Competition Bureau.
 34. Under the *USF/ICC Order*, in order to continue receiving FHCLS as 2014 levels, SCU

must increase its residential local rates to the FCC-mandated residential rate floors. Otherwise, the amount of FHCLS received will be reduced dollar-for-dollar for each customer by the difference between the existing local rate and the new rate floor. Pursuant to the FCC's *Seventh Reconsideration Order*, in order to preserve current FHCLS revenue levels, SCU must increase its residential local exchange rate to \$16.00 by December 1, 2014, to \$18.00 by June 1, 2016, and to \$20.00 by June 1,

2017.<sup>15</sup>

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## Rate Request

35. SCU requests that it be authorized to raise its residential local exchange rates from \$14.00 to \$16.00 effective December 1, 2014, and thereafter to increase its residential local exchange rate to the lower of the FCC benchmark rate or \$18.00 as of June 1, 2016, and to the lower of the FCC benchmark rate or \$20.00 on June 1, 2017. 16

- 36. According to SCU's schedules, in the test year, the Cooperative had an Arizona intrastate Fair Value Rate Base ("FVRB") of \$980,729.<sup>17</sup>
- 37. In the test year as adjusted, SCU reported total Arizona intrastate operating revenues of \$487,289 (including FHCLS of \$62,500), and total intrastate operating expenses of \$487,289, resulting in operating income of \$8,809, a 0.9 percent rate of return on FVRB.<sup>18</sup>

DECISION NO.

<sup>14</sup> Seventh Reconsideration Order at  $\P$  73.

<sup>15</sup> The FCC may revise the 2016 and 2017 floor rates based on new survey data. Seventh Reconsideration Order at ¶ 84.

16 SCU's Response to Procedural Order filed July 31, 2014. At the hearing, SCU was requesting to increase its local exchange rates to the announced FCC mandated floor of \$20.46 effective June 1, 2014. Tr. at 14 & 21.

17 Ex A-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> SCU's Supplemental Response filed on September 22, 2014. In the test year, SCU received FHCLS of \$13,255 based on its network configuration at the time as "host-remote" which only receives low USF support. Effective January 1, 2014, SCU reconfigured its network as "subscriber loop" which receives high USF support. From 2014 forward, SCU projects annual FHCLS of \$62,500, and for purposes of this proceeding, the test year is adjusted to reflect the known and

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

<sup>21</sup> *Id*.

- 38. According to SCU, if its current residential local exchange rate of \$14.00 is not increased to the FCC floor rate of \$16.00 by December 1, 2014, it would lose \$15,682 of FHCLS, resulting in an operating loss of \$6,873.19 Based on the adjusted test year, increasing SCU's local rates to \$16.00 would preserve its FHCLS, and increase its Arizona revenues by \$15,682, which would result in operating income of \$24,491, a 2.5 percent rate of return on FVRB.<sup>20</sup>
- 39. According to SCU, based on the adjusted test year, if its residential local exchange rates are increased to \$18.00 as of June 1, 2016, its revenue would increase by \$31,364 over test year revenues (or \$15,682 over revenues if the local rates are increased to \$16.00 on December 1, 2014), it would preserve its FHCLS, and result in operating income of \$40,173, a 4.10 percent rate of return on FVRB.21
- 40. According to SCU, based on the adjusted test year, if its residential local exchange rates are increased to \$20.00 as of June 1, 2017, its Arizona revenues would increase \$47,046 over test year revenues (or \$15,682 over revenues if the local rates are increased to \$18.00 on June 1, 2016), it would retain its current FHCLS, and result in an operating income of \$55,855, a 5.70 percent rate of return on FVRB.<sup>22</sup>

#### **Staff Recommendations**

- 41. Staff notes that because the Cooperative's filing was based on streamlined requirements to meet the FCC's deadline for federal USF funding, it does not include rate adjustments typical of a revenue requirement analysis.<sup>23</sup> Given the particular circumstances of this case. Staff accepted SCU's financial results as presented, and did not audit the numbers reported by the Cooperative.<sup>24</sup>
- 42. Based on the FCC's Seventh Reconsideration Order, Staff recommends that SCU's residential local exchange rates be set at \$16.00 effective December 1, 2014; \$18.00 or the 2016 rate

measurable higher FHCLS revenues. In the test year, before adjusting for the higher FHCLS, SCU had an operating loss of \$53,691. See Ex A-1, and SCU's August 22, 2014 Response.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> SCU's September 22, 2014 Supplemental Response. <sup>20</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Ex S-1 Staff Report at 4.

8

10 11

12 13

14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

26

27

28

<sup>25</sup> Staff's July 31, 2014 Response and Staff's August 29, 2014 Response.

<sup>26</sup> Staff's July 31, 2014 Response and Staff's August 29, 2014 Response at 2. 25

<sup>27</sup> Staff's July 31, 2014 Response. <sup>28</sup> Staff Report at 5-6; Tr. at 48-49.

<sup>29</sup> Staff's August 29, 2014 Response. Based on the Cooperative's Supplemental Response filed on September 20, 2014, if it lost its FHCLS, it would have a negative rate of return of 0.70 percent as of December 1, 2014, a negative rate of return of 2.30 percent as of June 1, 2016, and a negative rate of return of 3.90 percent as of June 1, 2017.

Staff's August 29, 2014 Response. See also Staff Report at 5 where Staff determined that at a rate floor of \$19.00 (the

initial request) would provide additional annual revenue of \$87,360, which would increase the Cooperative's return on its

floor set by the FCC, whichever is lower, effective June 1, 2016; and \$20.00, or the 2017 rate floor set by the FCC, whichever is lower, on June 1, 2017.<sup>25</sup>

- 43. In addition, Staff recommends that within 30 days of the effective date of this Order, the Cooperative should be required to notify its customers of the new rate floor of \$20.00 to be implemented in 2017 and of the preceding step increases and their effective dates. 26 Staff further recommends that the Cooperative file a full rate case under A.A.C. R14-2-103 for any future rate increase beyond the \$20.00 rate floor currently mandated for June 1, 2017.<sup>27</sup>
- 44. At the time of the hearing, Staff argued that its then-recommended rate of \$19.00 as of June 1, 2014, was just, fair and reasonable, and necessary because:
  - (a) The increase is necessitated by the FCC's November 18, 2011 USF/ICC Order;
- (b) The increase is necessary to preserve the entirety of the Federal USF funds that may flow to SCU pursuant to the FCC's rules;
  - (c) The increase will minimize/reduce the amount of future rate increases; and
  - (d) The increase will allow SCU to receive matching funds from the Federal USF. <sup>28</sup>
- 45. Based on the revised schedules filed by SCU on August 22, 2014, Staff concludes that if residential local exchange rates are not increased to the FCC's phased-in benchmark rates, SCU would lose FHCLS of \$15,682 with each \$2.00 increase in the FCC's benchmark floor, which would cause SCU's rate of return to drop form slightly positive to negative.<sup>29</sup>
- Based on the FCC's directives, the relatively small impact the increase has on SCU's 46. total revenues, the negative returns that would result from the loss of FHCLS, and that SCU would not be over-earning after the increase, Staff believes that increasing SCU's rates to the FCC's minimum benchmark floors between December 1, 2014 and June 1, 2017, results in fair and reasonable rates.<sup>30</sup>

DECISION NO.

3

4

47. Staff states that its recommendation in these unique circumstances should not be viewed as precedent for the processing of future rate case applications.<sup>31</sup>

and discussed a number of different scenarios that the FCC might take concerning its benchmark

floor rate and considered rates that ranged between the current \$14.00 and \$20.46, and that with the

parties' updated recommendations, there is no need to re-open the hearing in this matter in order to

\$25.12 per month.<sup>32</sup> If local residential rates are increased to \$16.00, the basic bill would increase by

\$2.22 to \$27.34; if local rates were increased to \$18.00, the current typical basic residential bill

would increase by \$4.42 to \$29.54; and if local rates are increased to \$20.00, the current typical basic

on SCU's operations. However, the record shows that the loss of FHCLS that would occur if SCU's

residential rates are not increased to the national average as determined by the FCC, will cause the

Cooperative to incur operating losses. According to the evidence presented, an increase in residential

local rates up to \$20.00 will not result in SCU over-earning on its FVRB, and the phase-in schedule

FCC is imposing the same requirements on all ILECs receiving FHCLS, SCU's rates for residential

local exchange service should increase from the currently tariffed rate of \$14.00 to \$16.00 effective

make an informed decision based on substantive evidence.

residential bill would increase by \$6.62 to \$31.74.33

advocated in this case results in fair and reasonable rates.

FVRB, a rate of return analysis alone is not helpful in setting rates for SCU.

We concur with the parties that the March 2014 hearing on the Application anticipated

Under the particular circumstances of this proceeding, although we have considered

At the current \$14.00 local exchange rate, a typical basic residential phone bill is

Neither Staff nor the Cooperative submitted an analysis of the effect of losing FHCLS

We find that under the particular circumstances of this proceeding, including that the

## Conclusion

48.

49.

50.

51.

52.

5

7

ጸ

9 10

11

1213

14

1516

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

28

FVRB from a negative to 1.0 percent. Staff opined that compared to the Cooperative's total revenues, any impact on revenues from such rate increase would be small and any impact on the return on SCU's FVRB would be *de minimus*.

31 Ex S-1 Staff Report at 6.

<sup>32</sup> SCU's August 22, 2014 Response; includes taxes.

<sup>33</sup> *Id*.

DECISION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

December 1, 2014; increase to \$18.00, or the lower of the new FCC residential rate floor, effective June 1, 2016; and increase to the lower of \$20.00 or the FCC's rate floor on June 1, 2017.

- 53. The rates and phase-in schedule approved herein were requested by the Cooperative, and the Cooperative agrees that a phase-in of the increase to \$20.00 over a period of two and a half years, from December 1, 2014, to June 1, 2017, is fair and reasonable.
- 54. We find that it is reasonable to require the Cooperative to file revised tariffs showing the rates authorized herein. Additionally, we find that Staff's recommendations concerning providing notice of the rates approved herein and their effective dates to be reasonable. Thus, we will direct SCU to mail notice of the rate increases approved herein to its customers within thirty days of the effective date of this Order in a form approved by Staff. In addition, SCU shall re-notify its customers by mail of the rates to become effective June 1, 2016, and June 1, 2017, at least thirty days in advance of their implementation dates.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. SCU is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article 15 of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§40-250 and 40-251.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over the Cooperative and over the subject matter of this proceeding.
  - 3. The Cooperative provided notice of this proceeding in accordance with law.
  - 4. SCU's Arizona FVRB is \$980,729.
- 5. Under the particular circumstances of this proceeding, in order to assure continued FHCLS for SCU's services, it is appropriate to increase SCU's rates for Arizona residential local exchange service from the currently tariffed rate of \$14.00 FCC residential local exchange rate floor of \$16.00; and thereafter, to increase SCU's rates for residential local exchange service effective June 1, 2016, to the lower of \$18.00 or the FCC's benchmark rate; and to increase SCU's rates for residential local service effective June 1, 2017, to the lower of \$20.00 or the FCC's benchmark rate.
- 6. The rates and charges authorized herein are just and reasonable and promote the public interest.
  - 7. The Cooperative should file revised tariffs showing the rates authorized herein.

#### **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that South Central Utah Telephone Association, Inc. is authorized to increase its residential local exchange rates to \$16.00 effective for billings on or after December 1, 2014, the implementation date for the new local exchange service rate floor as established by the FCC.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that South Central Utah Telephone Association, Inc. is authorized to increase its residential local exchange rates to the lower of \$18.00 or the FCC's benchmark rate floor effective June 1, 2016, and to the lower of \$20.00 or the FCC's benchmark rate floor as of June 1, 2017.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that South Central Utah Telephone Association, Inc. shall, by October 31, 2014, file revised schedules of rates and charges consistent with the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that South Central Utah Telephone Association, Inc. shall notify its affected customers of the rates approved herein and their implementation dates in a form approved by Staff either as an insert in its next regular bill, or as a separate mailing to be completed within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that South Central Utah Telephone Association, Inc. shall mail notice of the approved rate increases to its residential customers at least thirty days prior to the implementation of the new residential rates on June 1, 2016 and June 1, 2017.

. . .

l | . . .

...

...

; |

...

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDER	ED that any increas	es to South Cent	ral Utah Telephone	
2	Association, Inc.'s rates and charges beyond those approved herein shall be supported by a rate case				
3	filing pursuant to the requirements of A.A.C. R14-2-103.				
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.				
5	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.				
6					
7	te.				
8	CHAIRMAN			COMMISSIONER	
9					
10	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSION	IER	COMMISSIONER	
11					
12	·	IN WITNESS WHE Director of the Ar	EREOF, I, JODI	JERICH, Executive	
13		hereunto set my han Commission to be affir	d and caused the	official seal of the	
14		this	_day of	2014.	
15					
16					
17		JODI JERICH EXECUTIVE DIRECT	ΓOR		
18					
19	DISSENT				
20	, and the second of the second of		·		
21	DISSENT				
22	JR:tv				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		14	DECISION	NO.	

1	SERVICE LIST FOR: SOUTH CENTRAL UTAH TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION, INC.			
2	DOCKET NO.: T-01923A-13-0428			
3	Craig A. Marks			
4	Phoenix. AZ 85028			
5				
6	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION			
7				
8	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007			
9	Steven M. Olea, Director Utilities Division			
10	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION			
11	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				